

## Appendix 3. Consultation responses

### Individual Questionnaire Responses

#### Tenants

	On Housing List	Wish to Return	Preferred option
A	No	Yes	Comprehensive Development
B	No	Yes.	Comprehensive Development
C	No	No.	Comprehensive Development
D	No	Maybe	Comprehensive Development
E		Yes	Comprehensive Development
F	No	Yes,	Comprehensive Development
G	Yes	Maybe.	Comprehensive Development
H	No	No.	Comprehensive Development
I	No	Yes	Comprehensive Development
J	Yes	No.	Comprehensive Development
K	Yes	Maybe.	Comprehensive Development
L	No	No.	Comprehensive Development
M	Yes	No.	Comprehensive Development
N	Yes	No	Comprehensive Development
O	Yes	No	Comprehensive Development
P	Yes	Maybe	Comprehensive Development
Q	No	No	Comprehensive Development
R	No	Maybe.	Comprehensive Development
S	No	Maybe.	Comprehensive Development
T	No	No.	Comprehensive Development
U	No	Yes.	No development
V	No	Yes.	No development
W	No	Yes.	Undecided
X	No	No	Undecided.

#### Leaseholders

	Return	Comments
Y	No	Comprehensive development.
Z	Yes	Comprehensive development.
AA	Unknown	No development.
BB	Yes	Undecided.

### Questionnaire Responses Summary

Total responses – 28 (24 from tenants, 4 from leaseholders)

## Appendix 3. Consultation responses

Question	Number of responses
In favour of comprehensive development	22
Opposed to Comprehensive Development	3
Undecided	3
Current Housing Needs being met	15
Would like to return to one of the new homes	10
Maybe return to one of the new homes	6
Do not wish to return	12
Would like to move to another area	15
On Housing List	7
People with a disability	4

### Consultation Analysis

#### **Existing Housing**

A number of common issues and concerns were raised by residents in relation to the existing housing:

- Existing stock is in poor condition and requires major refurbishment. Damp, poor insulation and structural issues were identified.
- The block suffers rat infestation.
- Bins located close to the front entrance of the blocks creating a smell as you enter the block.
- Security and anti-social behaviour particularly at night was also highlighted, with access being gained by non-residents to balcony areas creating disturbances.
- Lack of open space and good quality play space for children was a key issue. Whilst there is a park immediately adjacent to the site it suffers anti-social behaviour.
- Insufficient space. There are 13 families with at least two children living in 2-bedroom properties.

However, some residents liked living in the area, as it was quiet, close to local amenities and schools. A number of residents have lived in the area for many years and are attached to it. Good access to public transport was also highlighted, with a bus stop close by, which was important for travel to work.

#### **Relocation issues and Support**

Should redevelopment take place a number of key issues were raised by residents:

- Changing their children's schools.
- Financial impact, in terms of removal costs, and costs of the new home.
- Stress and uncertainty of moving and adapting to a new area.
- Support with removal (transport, packing, dismantling furniture).
- Travel to work.

## Appendix 3. Consultation responses

### Summary

The majority of residents were supportive of comprehensive redevelopment. Whilst there are a number of residents who like living in the area, the condition of the existing stock is very poor and in need of significant refurbishment.

Concerns were also raised about the amount and quality of the open space, particularly in relation to safety and anti-social behaviour.

A number of residents have lived in the area for several years, and have strong ties, including local schools.

Should development go ahead residents will need support with organising moving home, including dismantling furniture, packing, transport. Financial support will also be required to ensure residents are no worse off financially both during the moving process and in their new home.